

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/587,265	06/05/2000	NOBUYUKI TAKEO	106403	8008	
25944 7	590 02/09/2004		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			NGUYEN, CHAU T		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2176	0	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/587,265	TAKEO ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chau Nguyen	2176	· .			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Chau Nguyen</u> . Reg. # 48,360	(3)	•				
(1) Chau Nguyen. Reg. # 48,360 (2) Richard Kim, Applicant's Representative (4)						
Date of Interview: Feb. 3 rd , 2004						
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2	2) 🗹 applicant's representative	e)				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	·				
Claim(s) discussed:1						
Identification of prior art discussed: prior arts of record.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ♀		I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments:	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement	was			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that v	reed would render the	er the claims claims			

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Applicant's representative, Mr. Kim, described the gist of the invention, and also distingues pointed out the differences between prior arts and Applicant's invention. Examiner discussed claim modification according to proposed amendment. Applicant advised new search and consideration would be required for proposed amendment and claim modification, serving to minimize current and future issues in the next office Action.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required